

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/376,430	MOORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eileen B. O'Hara	1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Eileen B. O'Hara.
- (2) Yvonne Eyler.
- (3) Paula Hutzell.
- (4) Janet M. Martineau.
- (5) Karina Marciari

Date of Interview: 13 August 2002.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
☒ c) Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Substance of Interview: Janet Martineau presented arguments asserting utility in the specification as filed, such as homology to known receptor and T cell proliferation, and interaction with JAK proteins. Also discussed post-filing data supporting utility. Means of overcoming the enablement and written description rejections were discussed, in which specific functional language could overcome the rejections.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Eileen B. O'Hara  
 Examiner's signature, if required